

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_82910
Title of the Manuscript:	Characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 in hospitalized cancer patients in an Amazon region: a cross-sectional study with data from epidemiological surveillance
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract</p> <p>#The author miss the introduction part</p> <p>#I think it's important to state sampling technique in detail</p> <p>#I think it is important to add how and what method of analysis you used, stating #the p-value criterion used</p> <p>INTRODACTION</p> <p>This section currently reads like several Jerky sentences so it is hard to follow the draft of arguments as the authors may have intended. I recommend revising it to better climax the key motivations for the study</p> <p>The reference in the text citation should be indicate by reference number in bracket [1]</p> <p>METHOD</p> <p>Methodology is unnecessarily confusing please revise and write in each subheading</p> <p>There is no any description regarding the study setting (Area) It's better to add</p> <p>#Line 120-127 method of measurement the association between dependent and independent variable is unclear revise and clearly describe</p> <p># I think the author better to use relative risk ratio measurement</p> <p>RESULTS</p> <p>#there is no any sub heading in the result I think it's better to write the result in each sub heading</p> <p># There is no any description or tables regarding socio-demographic characteristic of the patient. The Author must be incorporate the this part</p> <p># line 141-142 write the description before the table</p> <p># line 149 write the rewrite and put the table after the desorption(Table 2)</p> <p># 158-159 the author state male gender (65.96% - p- 0.006 - OR 2.438 - CI 1.291-4.604) how ever in the table the is no p-vale and odd ratio is stated please add p-value and odd ration in the table</p> <p>#Table 3 almost all variables p-value and odd ratio missing its better to incorporate it</p> <p>Discussion</p> <p># The discussion will benefit from the inclusion of the implications of the key findings around Characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 in hospitalized cancer patients</p> <p># I think it's better to compare and contrast our finding and state your justification</p>	Modified as suggestion
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	