

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_86298
Title of the Manuscript:	In-vitro antioxidant screening of ethanol extracts of Costus afer and Justicia carnea leaves
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrib.com/index.php/AJRIB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Regarding "In-vitro antioxidant screening of ethanol extracts of Costus afer and Justicia carnea leaves". The work is average but may be improved by the inclusion of the following suggestions. and after carefully evaluating of the work paper, the manuscript may be recommended for publishing in your journal after resolving the minor revision with follow below points: 1- English should be improved throughout the manuscript. 2- The concussion should be concise and to the points indicating the application of the work. 3- What are the main bottlenecks and challenges that facing the use of totally plant extracts? 4- Authors need to use the other antioxidant tests to reveal the efficiency of the totally extract components. 4- Authors need to make a comparative between their results with previous reports 5- The space between all of tables must be formatted	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. We have improved the written English throughout the manuscript2. The conclusion has been corrected in line with the reviewer's comments3. The major bottleneck that faces the use of the plant extract is the determination of its toxicity.4. a. Due to paucity of fund, the study was limited to the following antioxidant parameters: 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical, Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP), Nitric oxide, and Ascorbic Acid (Vitamin C). The research was funded by the personal efforts of the authors. b. The research was compared with previous studies in the discussion section of the manuscript5. The spaces between all of the tables have been formatted
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	There were no ethical issues.