

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_85698
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF SOME NATURAL DORMANCY OVERCOMING TREATMENTS ON THE GERMINATION AND EARLY VIGOROUS GROWTH OF BAMBARA GROUNDNUT (Vigna subterranean L. Verdc)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrib.com/index.php/AJRIB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Dear authors</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The abstract must contain the main purpose of the paper, the research method used in the research and the main contributions.2. It would be very useful to add in the "Introduction" section the purpose, objectives and hypothesis of the research.3. We consider that the introduction should specify the novelty of the paper compared to other papers published in this area. <p>4.The authors talk about the relationship between these variables</p> <p>6. Also, we consider the literature is not enough and that is why, we recommend the authors to refer to other recent works indexed in Web of Science, Scopus, Emerald, Cambrige, and MDPI Journals. We suggest that the authors cite papers published in MDPI journals and Web of Science Journals such as:</p> <p>a. Batrancea, I., Moscviciov, A., Sabau, C., Batrancea, L.M. (2013) Banking Crisis: Causes, Characteristic and Solutions, <i>Proceedings of DIEM</i>, 16-29.</p> <p>b. Batrancea, L., & Nichita, A. (2015). Which is the best government? Colligating tax compliance and citizens' insights regarding authorities' actions. <i>Transylvanian Review of Administrative Sciences</i>, 44E, pp.5-22.</p> <p>c. Batrancea, L.M., Nichita, R.A., Batrancea, I., Moldovan, B. A. (2012) Tax Compliance Models: From Economic to Behavioral Approaches, <i>Transylvanian Review of Administrative Sciences</i>, 2012, Issue 36E, pp.13-26.</p> <p>d. Nichita, A., Batrancea, L., Pop, C.M., Batrancea, I., Morar, I.D., Masca, E., Roux-Cesar, A.M., Forte, D., Formigoni, H., da Silva, A.A. (2019). „We Learn Not for School but for Life: Empirical Evidence of the Impact of Tax Literacy on Tax Compliance”. <i>Eastern European Economics</i> 57(5), 397–429; DOI: 10.1080/00128775.2019.1621183.</p> <p>e. Batrancea, L. (2021) An Econometric Approach Regarding the Impact of Fiscal Pressure on Equilibrium: Evidence from Electricity, Gas and Oil Companies Listed on the New York Stock Exchange, <i>Mathematics</i> 9, no. 6: 630.</p> <p>f. Nichita R.A., Batrancea L.M. (2012) The Implications of Tax Morale on Tax Compliance Behavior, <i>Annals of University of Oradea: Economic Science</i>, Tom XXI, no.1, pp.739-744.</p> <p>In conclusion, the paper can be published after minor revisions. It should also be enhanced with a review of the literature adequate to the subject and a broader interpretation and commentary of the research results.</p>	Modified as suggestion

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
---------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	