

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_85301
Title of the Manuscript:	Descriptive Anatomy of Invasive Weed, (Ruellia tuberosa)
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrib.com/index.php/AJRIB/editorial-policy> )

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The scientific name in the main title should be mentioned with its author citation (L.) as these are minor details that impart deep impression on the researchers, readers, and especially a taxonomist.</li></ul>	Done
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	-----	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Although the manuscript defines well-descriptive details about the anatomy of plant species. You can also add the response of anatomical structures to the polluted areas to enhance the authenticity of your work. As the previous studies have reported the increase or decrease in the leaf size (anatomy) in response to pollution, etc. This minor suggestion I am adding just because you have aimed to study the descriptive anatomy of the plant for its survival and colonization in harsh conditions, being a weed.</li><li>If you have already studied in your anatomical research, the measurements (in micrometer, millimetre, etc.) of leaf, stem and root to can also be mentioned to define the anatomical features in more elaborated manner.</li></ul>	Noted

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	