

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRDES_87414
Title of the Manuscript:	Infliximab in the treatment of severe psoriasis vulgaris patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrdes.com/index.php/AJRDES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The article may be an useful contribution to the journal; however, few changes should be taken into consideration:</p> <p>The novelty of the article is not clear; authors must stress on the novelty their work brings to current knowledge in the field (for example: maybe among first studies among in the geographical region?). To mention, infliximab is already used for many years now in the treatment of psoriasis vulgaris, therefore the degree of novelty is not that high.</p> <p>Also, to mention there is no comparison made to a matched control group whatsoever, and this a limitation of the study, too, as well as a minus compared to pother already existing studies in the field. Maybe a Limitations paragraph could be reasonable to be added to the study.</p> <p>A better methodological approach would have been to use Kaplan-Meier curves to plot the number (proportion) of patients receiving improvement in the disease, according to various points of evaluation/study endpoints (such as PASI 75, PASI 90).</p> <p>Authors are advised to define all abbreviations at first appearance in text; in abstract and also elsewhere.</p> <p>Grammar, spelling and punctuation must also be carefully checked within the entire article (e.g. 'treatment coarse'?)</p>	Comment accepted and considered
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	!! patients approval for images, as well as ethical commission approval should be stated explicitly in the article	Noted

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	