

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRDES_82957
Title of the Manuscript:	Partially Regressive Melanoma Without Metastasis: Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrdes.com/index.php/AJRDES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. To better describe the medical records of this patient, adding immunohistochemistry and CT scan images is recommended.</li><li>2. The author should enrich the content of Introduction and Discussion. Also, The references in this manuscript are few.</li><li>3. The author should add scale bar to Fig 1 and 2.</li><li>4. Please indicate figure magnification of the hematoxylin and eosin staining.</li></ol>	Done
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Line 40.'indication' should be changed into "indicator".</li><li>2. Line 80. The singular verb 'is' does not appear to agree with the plural subject 'areas'.</li><li>3. Line158. Please verify the gender of this patient.</li></ol>	Done
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This study is based on a case of partially regressive melanoma without Metastasis. A 42-year-old male from Sirya presents a contribution to a good prognostic indication of regression in a patient with superficial spreading melanoma and dysplastic nevi syndrome of Clark. The advantages of this report are obvious, including clear thinking, smooth writing and standardized format. But the content of Introduction and discussion is insufficient, and some careless mistakes should be avoided.	Noted

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	