

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_84214
Title of the Manuscript:	CHALLENGES IN USING COMPUTERIZED SYSTEMS FOR ADMISSION INTO COLLEGES OF EDUCATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>I enjoyed reading the research paper and I know that the researcher(s) worked hard on it. The research paper is concise and would have clarified your point by adding the following suggestions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Analysis and Report<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Add the meaning of abbreviation keywords used in Table 1 (CCSACE1, CCSACE2, CCSACE3, CCSACE4)b. In 4.0, summarize and emphasize the role of Rural High School students versus Private School Students into taking the entrance exam, if possible.c. If possible, to combine the areas of entrance exam mentioned in the study and its factor in a form of table presentation (or any presentation) for better understanding of the readers. <p>In summary, the study is very good but needs to provide some additional discussions. I would suggest that the Technical Review Committee ACCEPT it with Minor Revisions, by providing all necessary revisions and feedback from the researcher(s).</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Okay</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	