

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_83743
Title of the Manuscript:	Catalyst Optimization Design based on Artificial Neural Network
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It is a good review paper and can be progressed well to benefit researchers in the field. Indeed, it is a good effort from you.</p> <p>Perhaps, as a review paper, the expectation is always to be broadly covered with much clearer representations, e.g. matrix, infographics, etc. Please include them to show the demand and gap of the application.</p>	Some revisions have been made in the revised manuscript
Minor REVISION comments	The conclusion should reflect a very clear gap of the subject matter, e.g. functional capability vs. field of applications associating with its own parameters. This will provide a better avenue for the reader of whether or not the ANN/BPNN can suit his/her research work.	Some revisions have been made in the revised manuscript
Optional/General comments	Good to have a look on highly ranked papers on how a review paper is architect before coming-up with a very gross paper, especially covering subject matter that has been widely used in most of the fields.	Some revisions have been made in the revised manuscript

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	