

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_83699
Title of the Manuscript:	Using Paper Mockups for Evaluating Soft Keyboard Layouts
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The Paper titled " Using Paper Mockups for Evaluating Soft Keyboard Layouts " is discussed the establishment of paper mockups to test the rate of text entry on soft keyboard layouts and also the efficiency the advantages and shortfalls of the methods of evaluation.</p> <p>I request the authors of this paper to consider the following points to revise your paper:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Rewrite the abstract for clear understanding.2. This paper seems to be lack of novelty. Authors should revise better and come forward with supportive information to upkeep their research paper novelty.3. Do more the current literature in the field.4. Please check typographical error and English used in your paper is not good enough for publication.	<p>Noted</p> <p>Amended revision</p> <p>Correction made accordingly</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Done</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	