

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_84683
Title of the Manuscript:	Features of congestive heart failure in Moroccan elderly patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrc.com/index.php/AJRCD/editorial-policy> )

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The article is very well written.</p> <p>What is the average age of patients?</p> <p>Indicate the age of the youngest and oldest patients among men and women.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments.</p> <p>We first didn’t mention statistics about age since we have separated patients in this study into two groups according to their age. However, we have following your request redone the statistic analysis in each group of patients and in subgroups according to their gender. Results about age have been added to tables and highlighted. The P value was&lt;0.001 (this was evident since we have separated the two groups according to age since the beginning). Groups 1 and 2 were comparable concerning the age of patients according to their gender (no differences have been found between the two groups when we compared the age between men and women in each group) which make the clinical, echocardiographic and prognostic results and the comparison between groups interpretable.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The literature used is outdated. There are only 3 sources for 2020-2021 among 30!!!.</p> <p>The list of sources needs to be updated.</p>	<p>Some references are related to big studies that are still referred to until today even in the latest guidelines 2021of the European society of cardiology. Some references are related to studies in Africa that are scares. Articles on Heart failure in elderly patients are few as well and mostly published before 2020. I tried to add sources for 2020-2021, the added sources and related information are highlighted in the manuscript.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The topic of the manuscript is relevant, timely and interesting for the audience of this journal.</p> <p>The manuscript reports on original research.</p> <p>Impressions after the article are good.</p> <p>After making corrections, answers to questions, especially references to newer literature !!!, the article can be submitted for publication.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments.</p> <p>We have made to corrections following the reviewing comments. Hope the modification we have made conform to your expectation.</p>

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	There are no ethics issues related to this manuscript.