

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_83541
Title of the Manuscript:	Diet and its Relationship with Peripheral Vascular Disease
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrc.com/index.php/AJRCD/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript discussed about one common health issue, peripheral vascular disease, and its relationship with some dietary components. The manuscript was interesting; however, addressing several points in the manuscript could increase the quality of work:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The fact is that the review is mainly focused on the dietary component (fruits, vegetable, grains and etc) instead on different diets, i.e., ketogenic diet, vegetarian diet, raw food diet, Mediterranean diet and etc. Therefore, I suggest either the authors include this into the content of the manuscript and discuss about it or they change the title of the manuscript.</li><li>2. The manuscript would benefit from editing for modification of vocab, grammar or syntax errors.</li><li>3. I suggest that the author discuss in each subheading instead of just referring what previous work had reported.</li></ol>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Corrected amended</p> <p>Suggested revision made</p> <p>OK</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. I ask if the author could correct the order of the manuscript. Commonly, the discussion is at the end of the manuscript, not after introduction.</li></ol>	<p>Noted</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	