

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_73884
Title of the Manuscript:	Physical retraining in heart failure: evaluation of 30 patients at the Abidjan Institute of Cardiology (Ivory Coast)
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	OK NO PROBLEM	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	OK NO PROBLEM	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	If possible add dietary chart of patients	WE HAVE NOT FORESEEN THE DIETARY PICTURE SINCE IT IS A STUDY ON RE-RRAINING ONLY

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>  LIKE ANY SCIENTIFIC WORK THERE ARE ALWAYS ETHICAL QUESTIONS: THE AGREEMENT OF PATIENTS ON THEIR STATUS THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF RESULTS AND THE CENTRALIZATION OF RESULTS	yes we have questions of ethics since the article has been validated by the medical and scientific direction of the heart institute of Abidjan