

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_84755
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of serum trace element levels of solid waste scavengers in Osogbo, South-western, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This manuscript is intriguing, but for this text, I urge that you update your English writing style.	Thanks for your kind observation
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	# Abstract: <ul style="list-style-type: none">I recommend you delete the words from the abstract section: Purpose, Methods, Results, and Conclusion.Write the keywords in alphabetical order. # Introduction <ul style="list-style-type: none">It's better, if you can develop more the introduction. #Conclusion <ul style="list-style-type: none">I recommend you to write the results in the conclusion section.	The words have been deleted Keywords have been re-arranged alphabetically Conclusion has been re-written, focusing only on the sample rather than the population
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This work has some interesting data. The authors attempted to offer a study on the assessment of solid waste scavengers' serum trace element levels in Osogbo, Nigeria.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	