

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_87663
Title of the Manuscript:	Main and Interactive Effects of Calcium and Xanthophyll Supplementation on the Laying Performance, Internal and External Qualities of Isa Brown Hen Eggs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>✚ The Manuscript is fall in the scopes and aims of AJRAVS</li><li>✚ The quality and scientific soundness is to some extent okay</li><li>✚ All corrections and answers should be done and answered before start of publication process</li><li>✚ There is no ethical approval code or number (should be )</li><li>✚ There is no declaration of conflict of interests</li><li>✚ There is no data availability</li><li>✚ There is no author contributions</li><li>✚ There is no acknowledgement</li><li>✚ Laying hens (2x3----clear that</li><li>✚ Add calcium supplementation</li><li>✚ The cited references should be rearranged according to date from old to new ones (2004----2005---2010----etc)</li><li>✚ The most cited reference need to be more updated (no even one 2020-2022)</li><li>✚ The same style should be written the reference lists</li><li>✚ Introduction is too long should concise</li><li>✚ There are 2 results ---which one corrects ????</li><li>✚ Add reference for the statistical analysis</li></ul>	aComment accepted and considered
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	