

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_84581
Title of the Manuscript:	Gonadal and Extra-gonadal Sperm Characteristics of Rabbit Bucks Treated With Aqueous Solution of Baobab (Adansoniadigitata L.) Fruit Pulp
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Accepted with major revision Comments of the Reviewer: 1- Discussion is not enough 2- Results and Discussion should be separated from each other 3- References should be updated 4- To the Author: Please explain briefly the method of sperm collection with a REFERENCE????? . Why you do not use artificial vagina for semen collection?????? 5-IS THIS CORRECT? A smear of the samples was made by cutting the left testis in the equatorial region and rubbing the cut tissue on a clear glass slide 6- Question: Is collection of sperms from cuda epididymis correct or not?	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Beefed up- The Results and Discussion were not merged together. They were separated.- We did not use semen rather we used sperm. If we intended using semen, we would have collected semen (Semen = sperm + Seminal fluid) either by artificial vagina or other methods. This method is correct for gonadal and extra-gonadal sperm evaluations. It has been in use for long and references have been cited for it.
Minor REVISION comments	In Abstract: 1- It waschanged into it is 2- solution couldchanged intoacan In material and methods: 1-Twenty-five (25) grower changed intogrowing 2 The diet for growing ducks 3- Metablzablechanged intoMetabolizable 4- Epidydimischanged intoepididymis	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Noted- Noted- Noted- Noted- Noted
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	