

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_84579
Title of the Manuscript:	Reproductive Characteristics of Growing Boars Fed Diets Containing Raw or Fermented Cotton Seed Cake
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I believe that the scientific article needs minor revisions and can be published.	
Minor REVISION comments	I would like to make a little comments (listed below): <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Risco and Chase (1997) appears in the text (in introduction) but does not appear in the bibliography;- Tabatabai et al (2002) appear in the text and Tabatabai et al. (2002) appear in the bibliography. It is the same author?- The title of Table 1 should be on the top page and not on the previous page below (pages 6 and 7, respectively).- The first and 4th sentences in the Results are not arranged to justify.- Amao OA and Showumi KA (2016) to be listed in the bibliography after Amao OA et al. (2012) (I suggest reversing references).	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Thereference has been included- This has been corrected in the text- The title has been adjusted. It shifted in the course of opening the document on a different system..- So done- So done
Optional/General comments	My opinion on this Original Research Article is as follows: the article can be published after the mentioned correction.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	