

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_84243
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Performance of Grower Sheep Fed with Pelleted Napier (<i>Pennisetum purpureum</i>) Grass – Based Complete Ration Mix (CRM)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitled as, Comparative Performance of Grower Sheep Fed with Pelleted Napier (<i>Pennisetum purpureum</i>) Grass – Based Complete Ration Mix (CRM) is aimed to estimate the Comparative Performance of Grower Sheep Fed with Pelleted Napier (<i>Pennisetum purpureum</i>) Grass – Based Complete Ration Mix (CRM). The manuscript needs justifications and revisions in some points.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The Title need to be checked properly.2. Abstract needs revision to address that why this study is done and what is its future perspective? How it will benefit the society and scientific community?3. Key words must be checked.4. Check the manuscript properly and remove grammatical mistakes.5. The references in text should be according to format.6. All the abbreviations on first appearance must be presented in full.7. The manuscript needs a thorough revision for spelling, spacing in words and language correction. <p>[In the manuscript, I have highlighted main observed mistake points. The red highlighted text needs to be correct and green highlighted text needs to be considered by author for manuscript. Rest of corrections should be done by author].</p>	Revised
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	