

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_85938
Title of the Manuscript:	An Overview of Biodiversity in Bhutan
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only based on '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The literature review article can be accepted with some revisions are per the comments provided in the manuscript. The article gives a good overall picture of biodiversity in Bhutan. Manuscript needs to add a brief methodology and also a concrete conclusion, as what is coming out of this article. Or is it just providing a concise available information put together. Figures and data needs to be revised along with the Tables as commented.	The revisions were made as per the reviewer's comment. I feel a brief methodology is not needed s the manuscript talks about an overview of biodiversity. There has been no particular method like other scientific papers. I feel a concrete conclusion is not needed as the article talks about the overview of the biodiversity in Bhutan. However, I have added a few lines in the conclusion. Figures and data were also revised along with the comments received.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Needs to add citations as commented and also seriously needs to check authentic sources while citing.	Comments were considered, and changes were made as per the reviewer's comment. Some pictures were also added.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	