

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_85838
Title of the Manuscript:	The socio-economic importance and sustainability of Non-Timber Forest Products collection in the South West and Littoral Regions of Cameroon
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The paper proposed by the authors is relevant and in line with a sustainable forest management of the Congo Basin forests. The paper is well written and documented, and the results are properly discussed. However, some minor corrections are required:</p> <p>The authors should read the author guideline of the journal (Author guideline...https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/about/submissions) for a correct presentation of the paper (Police, double space of the main and sub titles of the text, tables and figures...)</p> <p>A surprising fact is the lack of mean\pmSD and the significant differences (p-values) between the results obtained (Table 3 in particular) although the authors claimed using a Statistic software and a large number of NTFPs to calculate the % contribution to household income. Giving the significance between some contribution to collectors' household income which seem very close should help to discriminate properly the results.</p> <p>Introduction Lines 40 and 44: Harmonize the reference according the author guideline “(Tieguhong and Ndoye, 2006)...” “..Duguma & Mesele, 2019..”</p> <p>Materials and Methods Location of Study area Line 90-91: I suggest the authors to give the GPS data of the “Two villages were then selected in each sub-division based on their access to markets (easy and difficult).”</p> <p>Line 92: More details should be given for the map of Figure 1 as the same map was found in Google image. (Who has done the map? The map scale and corresponding legend?) Web source: https://www.google.com/search?q=indian+population+cameroun+statistique+south+west&sxsrf=APq-WBsQyGKANg8dtFt1IWua07ZpV6_fKQ:1648673007797&tbm=isch&source=iu&ictx=1&vet=1&fir=7LWhQHpgVptgBM%252CqK8kbpj-sA2IMM%252C_%253BYfv348XDCIVaXM%252C2wjnb5zAPRWcsM%252C_%253BJEHtncVqZtPDZM%252CjMk9WN41zwahoM%252C_%253BFRbJTDVVeZYaM%252CQ7DNc2E2Vjy4yM%252C_%253B7JEFsI2eo8LGfM%252CGtHWD2arFdu-rM%252C_%253BKED3VmdGtewMvM%252CqK8kbpj-sA2IMM%252C_%253BYGjeHQZJyzBJM%252CwJEtXPBQQ477KM%252C_%253B37rfW2SYIXhEAM%252CRViceETeRdrZJM%252C_%253BW9HCJmZaEybtIM%252CeoabVofZaI0XvM%252C_%253BtTSrk2TutITPzM%252CVvNBOMMYzIy5XM%252C_&usg=AI4_kQCBS69ITIs_QcUxPMhqioGEfFyGA&sa=X&ved=2ahUKEwi13evH2e72AhUuPewKHVGaC1oQ9QF6BAgKEAE#imgsrc=Yfv348XDCIVaXM So, the source of the map should be cited (the map scale and legend if possible) For all the tables and figures. The guideline should be respected (police, double space for the title and the data)</p>	ALL MINOR REVISION COMMENTS RAISED BY THE REVIEWER IN THIS SECTION HAS BEEN ADDRESSED BY AUTHORS IN THE MANUSCRIPT

Review Form 1.6

	<p>Line 116: Table 2: “http://www.statoids.com/ycm.html. Retrieved April 6, 2009.”, delete the supplementary comma</p> <p>3. Results and Discussion</p> <p>3.1. Socio-economic Characteristics of Collectors</p> <p>Please, harmonize all the other sections of the manuscript as done for the results and discussion part.</p> <p>Line 131: Delete the repeated word in the sentence “including market-market...”</p> <p>Line 148: In Table 4. The references in the Sources: (Eyog Matig <i>et al.</i>, 2006; Sunderland <i>et al.</i>, 2003; Sunderland <i>et al.</i>, 1999) should be reorganized so that the year increase as follows: (Sunderland <i>et al.</i>, 1999; Sunderland <i>et al.</i>, 2003; Eyog Matig <i>et al.</i>, 2006). Check carefully all the cited references of the manuscript and correct the similar mistake.</p> <p>Lines 152,154: Harmonize between figure and Figure. Check carefully all the manuscript.</p> <p>Line 179: Change programmes by programs</p> <p>Line 182, 184:change organise by organize</p> <p>Line 183: Change-organisations by organizations</p> <p>Line 186: The authors wrote (Ndoye 1995), please harmonize with the other references of the manuscript such as Tajoacha, 2008 (line 192)</p> <p>Line 191: Please harmonise between the reference Sunderland <i>et al.</i> and Mdaihli <i>et al.</i>, (italic or normal?). Check all the manuscript</p> <p>Line 229: Suppress the space in the sentence “...any say. The majority...”</p> <p>Line 249: The reference CIFOR’s 1997/1998 is not found in the list given, but found as project in the reference “Oyono, P. R., M. B. Biyong and S. Kombo (2009). Les Nouvelles Niches de Droits Forestiers Communautaires au Cameroun: Effets Cumulatifs sur les Moyens de Subsistance et Les Formes Locales de Vulnérabilité. RRI Project. Cifor. Yaoundé, CIFOR: 101” (lines 435-437)</p> <p>Line 264-265: Reorganize the references (Awono <i>et al.</i>, 2002; Blackmore and Nkefor, 1998; Fondoun and Tiki-Manga, 2000)...</p> <p>References</p> <p>The author guideline or the journal reminds that “References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].”</p> <p>Authors should check and correct properly all the reference in line with the author guideline.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	