

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_88020
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDENTS' PERCEPTION OF THEIR PHYSICS TEACHERS' CLASSROOM PRACTICES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajr2p.com/index.php/AJR2P/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please motivate more the urgency of the research in the introduction section. You could give such early finding you've observed so that there is a need to conduct the research. - You have to give some references in the introduction section, citing previous relevant works. - The authors mentioned validity and reliability. Where are they? I cannot find them in the manuscript. The result of validity and reliability. 	<p>I have rewritten the Introduction and included the references</p> <p>Cronbach's alpha coefficient value of 0.953 was stated in the article</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - First and second paragraph of Section 2.2 are identical to the first and second paragraph (some sentences) in Section 2.1. - Survey is tightly related to quantitative methods, instead, use interview. 	<p>Noted and has been duly rectified. The repetition in 2.2 has been deleted</p> <p>The use of interview will be considered in subsequent study. This current study was a survey and I only used questionnaire to gather data</p>
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I think research question can be merged in the introduction section instead of in a separate section. 	<p>I think so too since it is just one research question. Ive deleted that section</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No