

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_83403
Title of the Manuscript:	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS ON THE IMPACT OF TROPOSPHERIC TEMPERATURE AND RELATIVE HUMIDITY ON DOWNLINK SATELLITE COMMUNICATION SYSTEM OVER WARRI, DELTA STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajr2p.com/index.php/AJR2P/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The title is informative and relevant, but it sounds not interesting. I suggest rewriting the title to be more interesting.</li><li>2. The structure of paper include several mistake. For example, introduction is section (1) and methodology is section (1). Therefore, I suggest organize paper carefully.</li></ol>	Done revision
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>3. I suggest redrawing figure 2, 3, and figure 4 with small scale in days. Therefore, results be more accuracy for reader.</li><li>4. The conclusion answers the aims of the study and is supported by results, but it is missing future work. Therefore, it would be better to inform future research opportunities.</li><li>5. The number of references is not adequate. Thus, recommend increasing it.</li></ol>	Corrected
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	