

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_71993
Title of the Manuscript:	ANGLE DEPENDENCE OF SOLAR POWER CAPACITY: THE CASE OF SETIT HUMERA
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The introduction of the article: it is necessary to summarize the previous studies, analyze the results of those studies and propose solutions of the author in this paper. Experiments were done for the first six days of three months, and the first three days of each month were used to measure voltages for different tilt angles by changing from 0° to 26° in steps of 2o. So, what about other times?	Just this study was on the summer seas only on 2017 GC. Actually this study is just as an introductory for the others researcher with regards to the community service. So if someone is interested to know more this study will be as back ground to the other researcher.
Minor REVISION comments	What type of solar cells are used in the research (mono, poly, thin-film)? With different types, performance is different or not?, not presented? This article is not presented according to the prescribed form	Poly
Optional/General comments	This article is very simple in content, there is no new content	It depends on the study , and how you can said that? / it is optional /

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Since this study is ethical issue. Since now there are several information which is listed in this article and interested information for the societies, and it is innovative idea. It is completely original work. i.e. not copy from other's work. In my context I understand it the ethical issue as I understand it.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>