

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJR2P_71993 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | ANGLE DEPENDENCE OF SOLAR POWER CAPACITY: THE CASE OF SETIT HUMERA |
| Type of the Article | Case study |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Figure 4: Mention name of the each components | It is already mentioned in procedure |
| Minor REVISION comments | -Add 2 more key words - "The experimental set-up as shown in figure 3 is a metal frame with" - It is figure 4 not figure 3?? | Completely I accepted it |
| Optional/General comments | This paper well written and useful for the current researcher those who are involved the solar PV system research works. | Since it is the current issue |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | Since this study is ethical issue. Since now there are several information which is listed in this article and interested information for the societies, and it is innovative idea. It is completely original work. i.e. not copy from other's work. In my context I understand it the ethical issue as I understand it. The collected data from different participants were used only for the intended purpose not for the other purpose. That is used only for this research. This is to keep participants confidentiality and safety. |

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>