

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_84565
Title of the Manuscript:	Retropharyngeal Abscess Presenting as Stridor in a 35 days male Infant: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title: Why capitalise letter of each word? Write in small words except starting of title including in the abstract and keywords.</p> <p>Case presentation : Should be mentioned as case presentation and not presentations.</p> <p>Mention epicenter as epicentre. Name of the organism should be mentioned in italics everywhere including discussion.</p> <p>Was pus sample sent for anaerobic culture? If not ,mention that only aerobic culture was done</p> <p>Did invitro and in vivo susceptibility results correlate?</p> <p>Discussion Bacteroides sp, Peptostreptococcus sp, Clostridium sp:correct the spelling of the Bacteriods as bacteroides and add sp after the name of the organism and write the name in italics.</p>	<p>We thank the reviewers for their valuable suggestions.</p> <p>Amendments and due rectifications made and are being re submitted</p> <p>Amended</p> <p>Amended</p> <p>Amended</p> <p>Pus sample was sent for aerobic culture only. The same has been mentioned as advised in manuscript</p> <p>Yes. Clinical improvement as expected observed with chosen antibiotic to which the organism was susceptible</p> <p>Amended</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	