

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_83235
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical Profile Of COVID 19 Infection And SARS-Cov-2 IgG Antibody Response In Children Under 18 Years Of Age In A Tertiary Care Centre In North Kerala
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Review Form 1.6</u>	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract & text:</p> <p>- Materials and Methods:</p> <p>. (mention the manufacturer) . What type of serological tests was made? (mention manufacturer) (in the abstract)</p> <p>Results :</p> <p>- For table 2, the commentary is not clear enough, the reader cannot understand if serological tests were made regulatory for all patients after each month, or if the authors did such tests in the different months to the number of patients mentioned. The explicative text must be more precise.</p> <p>- “Even patients' sample is little” you must mention the p value for statistical study, so that the reader can be sure of the statistical significance.</p> <p>- Authors should underline with an appropriate figure the evolution of IgG antibodies over time.</p>	Thank u sir for your valuable comments. We all accepted your comments and revised our manuscript as far as possible
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>- COVID-19 not COVID 19 or covid-19 (maintain the same writing). - It is better to write the number and percentage in words than in numbers at the beginning of sentences. - The citation of figures and tables must be mentioned in the text before their presentation.</p> <p>Discussion:</p> <p>- We couldn't find any association between fever and antibody response- No significant association was seen between symptoms and antibody response (this type of conclusion is not appropriate to be mentioned). - Mention only the name of the author followed by et al. - Other minor corrections are made in red colour directly in the text.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>“and chances of natural immunity is less”, rewrite the sentence to make it more understandable.</p> <p>References:</p> <p>According to the international nomenclature, authors' identification must be: the name and first letter of the forname of the six first authors, and beyond, you have to mention “et al” .</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Expand the discussion a bit more, emphasizing the particularities of the immune response to Sars-Cov-2	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	