

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_83235
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical Profile Of COVID 19 Infection And SARS-Cov-2 IgG Antibody Response In Children Under 18 Years Of Age In A Tertiary Care Centre In North Kerala
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**' provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Dear Author,</p> <p>The first question is: what is the importance of detected SARS-COV2 IgG antibodies in the present work and correlating children of them with COVID-19? This information wasn't clear in the text.</p> <p>Abstract:</p> <p>In the abstract:</p> <p>The methods section does not cover all levels of the work. Results: the results are not shown homogeneously.</p> <p>Conclusion: the present work doesn't exhibit the vital question of the study.</p> <p>Introduction: There is no reference to prior studies, and the justification doesn't highlight the importance of the study.</p> <p>Methods: didn't any of the analysis need protocol adaptation? Also, please insert Assentiment Form of relatives.</p> <p>Why shouldn't COVID-19 antibody response by PRNT in samples?</p> <p>Please insert the Ethics Committee's number of approval.</p> <p>Results: the results are reported in a confusing way. Why does the results in percentage? Missing CI 95%.</p> <p>Discussion: in the discussion section, the author doesn't correctly add the text's references and the studies that are mentioned.</p> <p>No information about others diagnosis of the study were inserted.</p> <p>There's also no final conclusion about the importance of the study and the results regarding the treatment.</p>	Thank you sir for your valuable comments. I agreed with your most of the comments and I tried my best to correct these as far as possible .
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	