

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_86009
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT ASSESSMENT OF GAP ON NIGERIAN CRUDE OIL PRODUCTION: A BOX-TIAO INTERVENTION APPROACH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Acknowledge the source of data for the study.2. Statistical software package used should be stated.3. By retaining the null hypothesis, the author should comment on the alternate hypothesis and decisions made to that effect.4. The author should add the decision based on the obtained p-value (0.0549>0.05) to the conclusion.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The data for this work was from secondary source (i.e. it's a secondary data) and its been well acknowledged. (see "4. Data Description")2. Statistical software package used in this work is well stated. (check the bottom part of "4. Data Description")3. "From results of model estimation, we found that the intervention due to the Amnesty programme had no impact on crude oil production since the null hypothesis that ω is 0 was retained". From the highlighted part, it's obvious and statistically clear that the Null Hypothesis was accepted while the Alternative Hypothesis was rejected. (see the Abstract)4. The decision has been already stated and doing this again, will be REPEATITION.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The author should include digital geographical profile (GPS) of the studied area to the introduction.2. The author should elaborate the p-value obtained in relation to the level of significance.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Provided. (See the bottom part of the "Introduction")2. Needless since the impact parameter was non-significant.
Optional/General comments	Generally, the research is good and it should be considered for publication. Thank you.	APPRECIATION: Thanks to the Editors and Reviewers for your rigorous review of our article and inputs. We really appreciate. (Elisha J. Inyang)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	