

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_85735
Title of the Manuscript:	Logistic regression without intercept
Type of the Article	Commentary

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Accept with minor revisions The problem which is solved in the proposed study is interesting and important. To improve the quality of the paper few comments 1. Please provide more details regarding the obtained results in the abstract. Abstract should include the problem that is solved, the importance of the problem, method(s) used, obtained results and methods utilized in comparative analysis. 2. Method sections are detailed. However, equations should be aligned on the center of the page and each symbol used in equations must be defined. Please, check this. 3. Add comparative Analysis to enhance the quality of the paper	Thank you so much for your valuable and constructive review comments. I totally agree with you and have made changes in terms of your comments and suggestions. Enclosed below are my responses. 1. I have rewritten the abstract according to your suggestions. 2. I wrote the paper in Word and typed all equations using Word's Equation Editor. I have tried best to centre all equations in the revised version. 3. The examples in Section 6 were intended for comparative analysis. To be more clear, we've added the text "In this section, we conduct comparison between logistic regression models with intercept and without intercept by numerical examples of the real world." at the beginning of Section 6 in the revised version.
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	