

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_85043
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influence of Measurement Errors on Generalized Estimator of Population Mean
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">Abstract: You should keep the order of abstract presentation (see journal guideline for more information). There is no methodology, findings of the study and conclusion in the abstract of the study.Line Number 87: What is the difference between your study and the study conducted by Neha and Gajendra (2019). Both studies propose Generalized class of study for measurement error. Need of clear gap justification between the two study.There is no material and method section in the manuscript (see the journal guideline for further).Throughout the manuscript: Every symbol in equation must be defined with their correct name.Discussion of Results: Which one is the best among others? You should justify your answer based the obtained results. On the discussion part, you should discuss the result by comparing to previous studies' result to make your results more sound.	<p>The correction has been effected</p> <p>Neha and Gajendra (2019) proposed class of estimators in the presence of measurement error and non-response errors and only considered the case of uncorrelated measurement errors. We proposed here class of estimators in the presence of correlated measurement errors and uncorrelated measurement errors.</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>The proposed estimator is more efficient than the usual unbiased estimator and some members of proposed class of estimator</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">Line Number 27: It is better if you see the definition of Measurement error again. You should give care when defining Statistical terms.Line Number 26-35: Need of reference/s for your justification.Line Number 93 & 97; Tense problem: you should change all future tense into past/past perfect.	<p>Corrected</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Corrected</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	