

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_84853
Title of the Manuscript:	A STATISTICAL ANALYSIS ON THE EFFECT OF BAD HEALTH HABITS IN TWO CONTINENTS (AFRICA AND EUROPE)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Research goals must be highlighted. Data sources are not specified; the webpage as an appendix is wider than applied. The list of references should be enhanced.	The research goals have been highlighted. The aim and objectives have also been included. The data source has been rectified and also inclusion and the exclusion criteria used to limit the data to the scope have been specified. Four more references have been added to the work.
Minor REVISION comments	A final grammar check is necessary	The Grammar errors have been corrected
Optional/General comments	The topic selection is interesting, but scientific soundness is missing. Literature (including recent ones) must justify the need for the analysis. A detailed presentation of the methodology is added, and after this, the results have a low emphasis. Conclusions are general.	The aim, objectives, and significance of the study have been rectified. The results and conclusions have been buttressed a bit more.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	