

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_82723
Title of the Manuscript:	Chen Software Reliability Growth Model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Add recent references of work done in the same filed (At least up to 2020)2. From Table 2 and 3 p values of SRGM and Chen SRGM are almost identical then how Chen SRGM is different than others3. Table 5 and 7 shows v having major effect on sensitivity. But it is not considered and behavior of model is remained unexplained	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. This has been noted and corrected2. The p-values are similar in Table 2 and 3 because of the similarity in the assumed parameters. The goal in that section was to specifically explore how parameter estimation works on the models and not which model performs better than the other. The comparison between which model does better was explored in the model selection criteria in Table 4 and 53. Thank you for this input, it has been noted and duly corrected
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Few typographical correction is required2. Proper formatting to be done	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. This has been noted and duly corrected2. 2 This has been noted and duly corrected
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	