

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_82632
Title of the Manuscript:	RESPONSE SURFACE OPTIMIZATION OF DIETARY IRON, CALCIUM AND VITAMIN C IN SOYAMILK FOR COMPLEMENTARY FEEDING
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors copied a lot of unnecessary theory about response surfaces. It was enough that the authors to write down some basic concepts. They do not present the data, it is very important to know them.</p> <p>On the other hand, and suddenly, they write the result of the statistical procedure and do not explain the association between the variables of the model and the problem. How to guess who is X1 or X2? The graphics did not explain them and they are piled up.</p> <p>I will attach the paper where I pointed out other errors that I found</p>	<p>The data for the statistical analysis is presented at the appendix.</p> <p>The variables X1, X2 and X3 as well as the graphics has been explained in chapter 4</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No