

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_82581
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on Operational Risk Management in First Bank of Nigeria (FBN Bank)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The author is addressing an interesting topic, but the author needs to justify the research gap better, give a descriptive statistics of the 21 banks variables. The author should employ panel regression and also conduct the Hausman test. This test will suggest whether the fixed effect or random effect model is appropriate. Anormal multiple regression may not be appropriate.</p> <p>Please re-write the introduction and the literature review.</p> <p>What is the purpose of conducting a survey? How is it adding value to the research. It looks as a standalone portion. Also check the references, all the citations are not present</p>	<p>The paper case study was based on first bank of Nigeria (FBN bank). FBN bank is one of the 24 deposit money banks in Nigeria. The survey and secondary data analyzed were based on this deposit money bank. Therefore, led us to run a linear regression model in assessing the impact of operational risk variables on the performance of FBN bank. Thus, instead of using panel data we employed our General regression model. Panel data would have been suitable if our study is contexted on the 24 banks.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	