

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_79418
Title of the Manuscript:	Attributable Fraction and Forecasting for Covid-19 Confirmed Cases in Nigeria Using Facebook- Prophet Machine Learning Model
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) Clearly stated the problem/topic/research question/purpose but author needs to establish the relevance/significance of the topic.</li><li>2) Data processing and analysis techniques and their appropriateness is briefly stated, could have been more elaborate and clear.</li><li>3) There is no mention of Future implications in the manuscript. The researcher is advised to add implications as the study could better progress based on scholars observations/outcomes.</li><li>4) Last section needs to be strengthened as the discussion and concluding remarks lacks clarity.</li></ol>	The significance of the topic has been stated. Data processing and analysis techniques and their appropriateness has been explained further. The future implications have been included. The conclusion has been modified.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Researcher is suggested to incorporate the above mentioned suggestions to strengthened the study further	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues.