

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_78928
Title of the Manuscript:	ARIMA MODEL FOR FORECASTING OF MONTHLY RAINFALL AND TEMPERATURE IN THE LAKE VICTORIA BASIN
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I have found the paper to be a great masterpiece and it is original in its own nature. The editor can go ahead with publishing the manuscript. However, the author is supposed to illustrate if permission was sought from the gate keepers in the process of gathering data.	Permission was sought and granted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There is a need to revisit the spelling of whether which was interchanged by the word weather	Word used is weather, i.e. climatic weather changes. I have changed the other word 'whether' with the word 'If'
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is good in general and can be published after checking on minor errors like the one mentioned above.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	