

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_78000
Title of the Manuscript:	The coverage of large skin tissue losses of the hand by the Mac Grégor flap
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
1	<p>The criteria for inclusion and exclusion of patients selected for reconstruction with the groin flap are missing. In fact, the groin flap is mainly used for the reconstruction of large cutaneous deficits of the back of the hand and sometimes for the reconstruction of the back of the fingers. Furthermore is also missing the description of the limits connected to the reconstruction with groin flap which prevents the use of the hand before the detachment of the pedicle at 21 days (average) from the first intervention and the bulky due to excess tissue after reconstruction.</p> <p>A complete revision of English of the paper is necessary.</p>	<p>We have included all patients with a large defect of the hand regardless of its dorsal or volar location as stated in the methods section.</p> <p>We have stated that in the disadvantages of this flap in the last paragraph of discussion and we added an explanation as you recommended, dear reviewer.</p> <p>Grammatical and structural errors are corrected.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	revision of captions and images (missing letters in images, Figure 5)	Captions corrected
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	