

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_82451
Title of the Manuscript:	WHAT IS CEREBRAL PALSY?
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajorrin.com/index.php/AJORRIN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Dear authors, thank you for submitting your case study. Regrettably, there are several concerns that require much attention and clarification. Please, see my comments in the main document.	Dear reviewer! I would like to express my sincere gratitude to you for very valuable remarks. Thank you very much indeed! I am agree with all your remarks, I have considered them all, and I have amended the manuscript according to all your comments.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The quality of the language is not sufficient for review to take place. Figures are not clear enough to read. The title of the case report is over-ambitious.	I have polished the language, changed the title and most of the figures. As to the remaining figures, I would cordially ask the reviewer to specify the figures to delete.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	