

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_78613
Title of the Manuscript:	Egypt fights Spinal Muscular Atrophy
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajorrin.com/index.php/AJORRIN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The give peice of work should be compulsorily reviewed and the following are the lacking points:</p> <p>1.No New Arguments(new arguments about the issue)</p> <p>2.No recommendations or solutions mentioned that can solve the issue</p> <p>3.No proper conclusion</p> <p>In short the work need to rewritten and should be reviewed again before final approval</p>	Comment accepted and considered
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The authors argument can be strructered and can be presented in a more relevant format	Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The given opinion article is good in its theme and is specific and short ,but is lacking important elements which need to be in an opinion article	Noted

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	