

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOPACS_84308
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF MOVEMENTS IN SPINAL CORD HEMISECTION TREATMENT WITH AMNIOTIC MEMBRANE - PRECLINICAL STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajopacs.com/index.php/AJOPACS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Please do the revisions as mentioned	We appreciate the reviewer's contributions to improve our study. All suggestions and requests in the manuscript were met and highlighted in yellow: Abbreviations present in the abstract were changed to words; The word trichotomy was replaced for animal hair removal with razor; The simulation was explained: after excision of the spinous processes and exposure of the spinal cord, no other procedure were performed, and the thoracic incision was closed; The drugs administration was better explained: individual cages for five days, where they received 5mg/kg of Enrofloxacin 2,5% every 24 hours and 10mg/kg Tramadol Hydrochloride every 12 hours; we have added the requested information in the figure 2 and in its subtitle; the list of references was formatted according to the journal's regulations.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	