

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geological Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGER_77855
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Crustal Deformation in Earthquake Process
Type of the Article	Review (Narrative or traditional literature review)

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Best case studies need to be incorporated for better understanding. It is suggested that provide case studies of remote sensing data images used for crustal deformation. Remote sensing data is freely available on open sources. That data can be used for demonstration purpose.	There is no intention to present any case study in this review article. However, few examples have been reported on studies using each of the methods discussed. For example, GPS (Ji et al. [34], Emore et al. [35], and Feng et al. [36]); Remote sensing Massonnet et al. [57], Massonnet et al. [58], Elliot et al. [59]; Gravimetry (Shen et al. [62], Chen et al. [63], Okal [68], Han et al. [69]) etc.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It looks like a review paper on different kinds of methodologies. Any data interpretation may give more meaningful shape of this paper	Yes, this paper is a review (nartative). The paper have also been enhanced in few areas with respect to the review comments.

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	