

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_85438
Title of the Manuscript:	Metal pollution, ecological and health risks status of the open waters from the lagoon area II of Ébrié system (Côte d'Ivoire)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. You did not measure those parameters by yourself? How do you consider the uncertainty of those data?2. The referencing style should be consistent, please check the journal guidelines on how to write the reference.3. Tables in the entire manuscript (starting letter t should be capitalized) please check all and address this issue.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1- We have obtained the data used in this study. They are been published in the Journal of Global Ecology and Environment. 2022;14(4):1-17.2- The references were been consistent according to the journal style.3- It has been done according to the reviewer remarks.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. We have provided some corrections with track changes in the manuscript, however, you have to check and correct all grammar mistakes.2. The writing style should be consistent, In the manuscript, you are using Arial in some sections and Times New Roman for other sections. Please fix this issue.	It has been done according to the reviewer remarks.
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. I suggest separating results and discussion (Example: 3. Results and 4. Discussion2. Improve the structure of the paper (Writing sections), according to the journal author's guidance.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	