

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_83848
Title of the Manuscript:	SYNTHESIS OF COPPER OXIDE NANOPARTICLES USING OCIMUM GRATISSIMUM (SCENT LEAF)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajocs.com/index.php/AJOCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>This article has scientific value and relevance. However, minor revision is required for the submission of this manuscript as-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1 .Novelty statements should be added.2. The author must add equation number.3. Proof reading should be done in order to improve the language and quality of paper.4. Author can add boundary line to the figures.5. Discuss the following work in revised manuscript by citing these:- https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-021-01566-3. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-021-04294-0 https://doi.org/10.14233/ajchem.2020.22585.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">2. The scheme number and caption has been adjusted for the equations.3. The authors have further proof-read the manuscript.4. The boundary for the figures have been adjusted to avoid figures breaking into next page.5. The suggested references have been added to the manuscript.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	