

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_78051
Title of the Manuscript:	CHEMICAL AND MEDICINAL PROPERTIES OF RAUWOLFIA VOMITORIA (AFZEL) HARVESTED FROM THE SOUTH EASTERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>[1] The manuscript is very crudely written. There are huge typographical, grammatical and structural mistakes from the Title to References. These make the manuscript unsuitable for publication as a research article in its present form.</p> <p>[2] The shrub name '<i>Rauwolfia vomitoria</i>' must be italic throughout the manuscript.</p> <p>[3] Authors must know the appropriate spelling, small letter and capital letter placement.</p> <p>[4] Figure 1 must be clear and caption should be below the Figure.</p> <p>[5] In the experimental section, please remove unnecessary tests. Just provide reference(s) by which the test is done.</p> <p>[6] Use space in 22mm; use either mL or cm³; use '48 h' instead of '48hrs' and so on; use 'RESULTS AND DISCUSSION'; use 'Figure 1' or 'Fig. 1' instead of 'fig1';</p> <p>[7] Compounds name as presented in 'GC-MS Analysis' section must be revised with appropriate nomenclature style. In addition, the name, MF, peak(s), structures etc. should be compiled in one Table and no need to repeat it in the discussion.</p> <p>I highly emphasize authors' careful major revision and structural organization of the manuscript and resubmit it for review.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The typographic errors has been corrected2. Plant name has been italicised throughout the work3. The placement of small letters and capital letters has been effected4. Fig 1 is scanned result obtained from the original result,we enlarged its size to make it clearer5. We have also effected the removal of some test and left them with just references6. In the result and discussion sections we have effected the changes highlighted7. We have also revised some of the names to suit appropriate nomenclture
Minor REVISION comments	--	
Optional/General comments	<p>There are many reports for the extracts and uses of '<i>Rauwolfia vomitoria</i> Afzel. Hence, authors must be careful about repetition of the work.</p> <p>More importantly, only a GC-MS can't confirm the structure of compounds. Chromatographic separations followed by spectroscopic analysis are essential in this regard.</p>	<p>The GC-MS analysis was done and the results are presented in the body of the work for which the compounds and their structures were elucidated</p>

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	