

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_84633
Title of the Manuscript:	Releasing two Important Insect Predators to Control of Aphids under Open-field and Greenhouse Conditions
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract:</p> <p>1. No study period</p> <p>2. No methodology</p> <p>3. Change clarify to clarified (line 5)</p> <p>Results</p> <p>1. Release of predators to control Aphids. The data provide in text is rather discussion with results</p> <p>2. Release of <i>C. undecimpunctata</i> Provide unit of measurement (6th paragaph line 2)</p> <p>3. Double release of <i>C. carnea</i> Provide unit of measuremet</p> <p>Discussion</p> <p>4.1.1</p> <p>1. Delete a before harmony (line 7)</p> <p>2. Change when to who (line 8)</p> <p>4.1.2 green house release</p> <p>1. Delete were (line 6)</p> <p>2. Add with before those (line 6)</p> <p>3. Delete a before harmony..... (line 8 and line 18)</p> <p>4. Change when to Who (line 13)</p> <p>5. Change are to were (line 29)</p> <p>4.2 Release of <i>C.carnea</i></p> <p>1. Delete are (2nd paragapgh line 4)</p> <p>2. Change that to those (2nd paragapgh line 4)</p> <p>3. Add and before achieved (2nd paragapgh line 7)</p> <p>Change when to who..... (2nd paragapgh line 11)</p>	<p>Added</p> <p>Added</p> <p>Okay</p> <p>- The release was carried out once at the beginning of the experiment, but not once more.</p> <p>- The same rates was applied as written in the 3rd line [1:5, 1:10, and 1:20].</p> <p>Okay</p> <p>Okay</p> <p>“Greenhouse” is one word, but not separated.</p> <p>Okay</p> <p>Okay</p> <p>Okay</p> <p>Okay</p> <p>Okay</p> <p>It is a title, I am preferring to writ the full name.</p> <p>Okay</p> <p>Okay</p> <p>Okay</p> <p>Okay</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	