

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_84133
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of Starter Cultures (Organisms) in fermentation of Cassava (Manihot esculenta), Used for Fufu Production.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The abstract needs to be rewritten. It's not academically correct.</p> <p>The results were cramped. Some parts are better presented in tables.</p> <p>The statistical analysis needs to be conducted again. Some are missing standard deviations.</p> <p>There are major grammatical errors.</p>	<p>The abstract is academically correct and descriptive enough.</p> <p>The results weren't cramped. Perhaps, you did not understand the presentation.</p> <p>For a work that does not require standard deviation, why should you formulate one? What is the relevance of standard deviation in the results presented?</p> <p>The grammatical errors have been corrected.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The tables and figures are not cross-referenced within the text.</p> <p>It would be better if the author included figures of their varied products, for originality reasons.</p> <p>Please use citation tools for accuracy and professional reasons (try Mendeley or Zotero)</p> <p>Proofread the text</p>	<p>How can you reference an original figure or table? Really?</p> <p>Such thing isn't obtainable.</p> <p>The references are well cited.</p> <p>Done!</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>There are very outdated references.</p>	<p>There is nothing like outdated references. We cannot alter the original dates of the references just to make it look recent.</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	