

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_84118
Title of the Manuscript:	The evaluation of the antibacterial effect on Propionibacterium acnes and antioxidant activity of various mushrooms, Chinese Herbs, and plant essential oils
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is rigorously reviewed. It is well prepared.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Title: Comprehensive and indicative</li><li>All words highlighted (Yellow) to be deleted</li><li>Abstract : It is one paragraph without subheadings.</li><li>Keywords: Some words to be deleted highlighted (Yellow), the green are newly added words.</li><li>The main titles (Introduction, Materials and Methods etc..) to be in the center</li><li>Introduction is very good.</li><li>Materials and Methods: Well, clearly explained.</li><li>Results and Discussion: Well illustrated by Tables and the discussion is satisfactory</li><li>Conclusion: He drew good conclusion</li><li>References: Adequate literature review. He used up to date references. But the citation needs to be revised as I have illustrated in Ref. No1.</li></ul> <p>The manuscript is original, technically of high quality good presentation well integrated with current research, with major contribute to the knowledge.</p>	<p>We have revised this manuscript as reviewer's comments. However, the format of the abstract has not been changed, as we found that the existing form fully met the requirements of the journal after our careful evaluation,</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript just requires minor correction</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The manuscript is accepted for publishing after minor correction</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	