

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_86353
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of being vaccinated on respiratory mechanics and mortality in critically ill patients with Covid 19
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract is nicely written.</p> <p>Introduction is very short and most importantly without references. Cite some recent references as well as establish the hypothesis of the present investigation.</p> <p>Authors have provided the reference [1] for the classification and scoring. So, no need to mention them in table. If possible write the inference of table in running text.</p> <p>Only one reference has cited in the material and method part. Author needs to add some more references for the methods followed in the present study.</p> <p>However, the Results and discussion part is nicely written, the authors need to check the grammatical errors.</p> <p>Conclusion is well written.</p> <p>Some more references need to be incorporated in the introduction as well as in the discussion part and those references should be correctly cited in the reference section.</p>	<p>Thsnk you very much</p> <p>The introduction has been rearranged. Added reference and detailed the subject</p> <p>Classification detailed in the text</p> <p>In the material part, because lung lobe involvement scoring available in another study was used. Therefore, only one reference was used. Other than that, reference was not used because other findings were within the scope of this study.</p> <p>Thank you for your comments. grammar checked again</p> <p>Thank you very much</p> <p>References increased</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Check for grammatical errors.	Checked for grammatical errors and rearranged

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No