

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_85137
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE AND PRACTICE OF PELVIC INFLAMMATORY DISEASE PREVENTION AMONG FEMALE STUDENTS IN COLLEGE OF HEALTH SCIENCE, NIGER DELTA UNIVERSITY, BAYELSA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p><u>Comment from reviewer</u></p> <p>Title of Manuscript: Not well written</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Recommended to include Year of the study <p>Abstract: Not well written</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Introduction: No need to highlighted too much of literature in Abstract2. Method section: suppose is the “Cross sectional study” and suggested to date of data collection, sample size, instruments used and cut-off for knowledge/practices.3. Finding: Suggested to highlighted the important finding and socio-demography findings not consider important.4. Conclusion is missing, please add. <p>Introduction: Not well written</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The explanation in first paragraph too long, suggest to summarize by half?2. Suggest to include knowledge and practice literature in the introduction section to substantiate the need to conduct this study.3. Please clarify this statement “in the College of Health Sciences, Niger Delta University, it is observed that some females suffer from pelvic inflammatory disease”. <p>MethodNot well written</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The “cross sectional” is the correct term instead of descriptive design2. No need to describe this design in depth. The term Cross sectional will do.3. Please clarify which sampling technique used? convenience or random?4. No need to explain in detailed the instruments theory and recommended to highlighted whether the instruments is validated or not?. The instruments should be validated if first time developed by researcher. <p>Results: Not well written</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Please clarify how to assess the knowledge or practices ? any cut-off value for Low or high ? <p>Discussion: Not well written</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The discussion section should be the discuss of the findings and not repeated the findings of the study.2. Recommended not to include the findings of the study in discussion section. <p>Conclusion Recommendation Not well written</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Please compare with your problem statement in the Introduction. Look like it is contradicted between each other2. Please clarify why wearing condom and not other is your recommendation?3. Recommended to include the ethical approval ID number in this section <p>References:Not well written</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The reference must follow the index medicus style of reference writing. (refer on how to write abbreviation for journal)	All the suggested correction have been done

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	