

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJMPCP_84363 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Male breast cancer after a 20 years of treated testicular cancer, A case report |
| Type of the Article | Case report |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>The first two paragraphs of the discussion should be in the introduction as it discusses the breast cancer generally and not specifically the patient that is been discussed.</p> <p>The last paragraph should be in the case presentation as it tells us about what happened and is planned for the patient</p> <p>The author should in the discussion proffer explanation why the patient is coming down with breast cancer after treatment for testicular cancer - ?genetic problem (such as one of the cancer syndromes), or it is as a complication of the first treatment (orchidectomy – if bilateral – leading to a reduction in the circulating testosterone or causing gynecomastial etc)</p> | <p>I rewrote the hole discussion</p> <p>There is no specific risk factor that played a role in the development of the second cancer but the text has discussed the role of the unexplained hormonal therapy</p> |
| Minor REVISION comments | <p>Can consider reducing the unnecessary results presented</p> | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |