

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_77354
Title of the Manuscript:	A QUANTITATIVE ENQUIRY INTO THE PERCEIVED BENEFITS, USER SATISFACTION AND CHALLENGES ASSOCIATED WITH ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORDS SYSTEMS
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	I don’t have any compulsory revisions. The article is well written and logically flowing. The Figure 2 Pie chart is too large and I’d advice the authors to write out the overall satisfaction instead of a figure. Pie charts are not the best figures to use.	The Figure 2 Pie Chart has been removed and written out as suggested by the Reviewer.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	All HER = EHR, please make corrections throughout text. Some spacing issues with no spaces between some words in sentences and between sentences, need to be fixed but minor. New paragraphs should either be indented or space in-between them.  Font in the figures do not match the text. I believe the font should match.	All HER is corrected to EHR. All noticeable spacing issues have also been dealt with. Space in between new paragraphs is also ensured.  Font in the figures have been dealt with as suggested by reviewers.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Yes, there are ethical issues in this study and we move to mention that all ethical requirements were duly followed without any breach of them. The University of Education, Winneba granted the investigators through the undernamed co-author (Isaac Blay) the permission to start with the study. Permission was sought from the management of the study facility as well as consent from the study participants before the commencement of the data collection. Additionally, the co-author (Isaac Blay) tasked to collect the data from the study facility was given acceptance letter by the facility before data gathering. The copy of the acceptance has been attached to this document for your kind consideration.