

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_88351
Title of the Manuscript:	Hunteria Umbellata Folk Medicine's Potency for Treating Obesity and Metabolic Syndrome Diseases.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	NIL	
Minor REVISION comments	Methodology -- ethics and correction to are assesessed and reviewed Glibenclamide dose 1gm/kg body weight or 1mg/ kg body weight needs --confirmation	Thank you so much! Methods was revised to correct the error Also, this error was corrected
Optional/General comments	Nicely discussed review article ,.any datas related to human studies?? Human studies can be done with close monitor [[Pilot study]] with initiation of this this plant products.	Because, of limitations of only articles obtained freely Online at no cost, authors used only the resources that they could reach. We did not find studies on human trial. It is being used in Africa for treating obesity and metabolic syndrome diseases. That was a great Idea, thank you so much for challenging the authors. While we publish our Systematic Reviews, perhaps, author's should consider conducting a pilot on human when we get an opportunity to do so.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	