

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_88280
Title of the Manuscript:	Citizens' knowledge and attitudes about conventional and e-cigarettes: the role of health care professionals
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The concept of the manuscript is very emerging in the context of modern life style, but some major deficiency found in the manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Please reframe the abstract especially in method part.</li><li>2. The research question, why the researcher is interested for this study, is not properly visible in the introduction part.</li><li>3. Deficiency of scientific explanations under each heading is found in the manuscript and technically more detailed information should be given under each outcomes.</li><li>4. The orientation of the result is not promoting to the outcomes.</li><li>5. Outcome of this study is not generalized properly and lack of novelty found from this article.</li></ol>	Noted and corrected
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	