

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_88280
Title of the Manuscript:	Citizens' knowledge and attitudes about conventional and e-cigarettes: the role of health care professionals
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper is aimed on assessment of citizens' knowledge about the modern types of cigarettes and to evaluate their attitudes on electronic and conventional cigarettes. The study is based on 28 items questionnaire distributed in Greek population covering contingent of about seven hundred responders. Most of responders were female urban dwellers. General quality of the study is appropriate, methods and scientific approach are modern and adequate. Literature sources are well balanced, contemporary and sufficient for analysis and discussion. No major drawbacks and mistakes preventing paper from publication were observed.	Revision made
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The paper requires proofreading for style and format. Tables must be uniformly formatted, etc.	Corrected all mistakes
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The study has some limitations including sample size and contingent selection	Noted and corrected

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	